

REMARKS ON THE MEASURE DENSITY AND THE MAPPINGS
ON THE SET OF POSITIVE INTEGERS

Milan Paštéka

Pedagogická fakulta Trnavskej Univerzity
Priemyselná 4, P.O. BOX 4, Sk-914 43, Trnava, SR
e-mail: pasteka@mat.savba.sk

SUMMARY. *In the first part we study the mappings which preserve zero asymptotic density and we give a characterization of the sets of zero asymptotic density in the terms of bijections. The object of observations in the second and third part is the uniform density*

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers. For any subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$, let $A(x)$ be the cardinality of $A \cap [0, x)$. The value $\limsup_x x^{-1}A(x) := \bar{d}(A)$ is called the upper asymptotic density of A , the value $\liminf_x x^{-1}A(x) := \underline{d}(A)$ is called the lower asymptotic density of A . If $\bar{d}(A) = \underline{d}(A)$ then we say that A has an asymptotic density and the value $\bar{d}(A) = \underline{d}(A)$ is called the asymptotic density of the set A . It is easy to see that this is if and only if the limit $\lim_x x^{-1}A(x) := d(A) (= \bar{d}(A) = \underline{d}(A))$ exists. For more details on the asymptotic density we refer to the paper [G].

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$ is an infinite and $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is such a mapping that*

$$(1) \quad \liminf_{\mathbb{A}} \frac{f(n)}{n} > 0.$$

Then for every $S \subset \mathbb{A}$ it holds

$$(2) \quad d(S) = 0 \Rightarrow d(f(S)) = 0.$$

Proof. The inequality (1) implies that for some $\alpha > 0$ we have $n \cdot \alpha < f(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{A}$. This implies that for $x > 0$ we have $f(n) \leq x$ yields $n \cdot \alpha < x$. Thus for $S \subset \mathbb{A}$ we get $f(S)(x) \leq S(\frac{x}{\alpha})$. From this we immediately obtain (2). \square

If $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ fulfills the condition (2) for every set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ we say that f preserves the zero density.

For every set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $d(S) = 0$ if and only if $d(\mathbb{N} \setminus S) = 1$. From this we obtain immediately :

Lemma 2. *Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a permutation. Then f preserves the zero density if and only if for every $R \subset \mathbb{N}$ it holds*

$$(3) \quad d(R) = 1 \Rightarrow d(f(R)) = 1.$$

Theorem 1. Let $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be such a permutation that there exists a set $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$, $d(\mathbb{A}) = 1 = d(g(\mathbb{A}))$, and for every infinite $S \subset \mathbb{A}$, $d(S) = 0$, we have

$$(4) \quad \liminf_S \frac{g(n)}{n} > 0.$$

Then g preserves the zero density.

Proof. Let $R \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $d(R) = 1$. Then $d(R \cap \mathbb{A}) = 1$. Thus $d(\mathbb{N} \setminus R \cap \mathbb{A}) = 0$. From (4) and Lemma 1 we get $d(g(\mathbb{N} \setminus R \cap \mathbb{A})) = 0$. This yields $d(g(R \cap \mathbb{A})) = 1$, thus $d(g(R)) = 1$. The assertion follows from Lemma 2. \square

Example. Let $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{n^2, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = A \cup B$ and $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{n^3, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = C \cup D$, where $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$, $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$, $C = \{c_1 < c_2 < \dots\}$, $D = \{d_1 < d_2 < \dots\}$. Moreover $A \cap B = \emptyset = C \cap D$. Let us consider the permutation $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ where $g(n^2) = n^3, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g(a_k) = c_k, g(b_k) = d_k$. If we suppose that the sets A, B, C, D have positive asymptotic density, then g fulfills the assumption of Theorem 1. If $d(A) \neq d(C)$ then g preserves the zero density but does not preserve the asymptotic density.

Theorem 2. Let $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an injective mapping and $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ an infinite set.

a) If

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \max\{g(a_j), j = 1, \dots, n\} = 0$$

then $d(A) = 0$.

b) If

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(a_n)}{a_n} = 0$$

then $d(A) = 0$.

Proof. a) The values $g(a_j), j = 1, \dots, n$ are different positive integers and so their maximum must be greater than $n - 1$. This implies

$$\frac{n}{a_n} \leq \frac{1}{a_n} \max\{g(a_j), j = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Now (5) implies $d(A) = 0$.

b) Put a_{k_n} such that $g(a_{k_n}) = \max\{g(a_j), j = 1, \dots, n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\frac{g(a_{k_n})}{a_n} \leq \frac{g(a_{k_n})}{a_{k_n}}$$

because $a_{k_n} \leq a_n$. The set $\{g(a_n), n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ infinite and so $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore (6) implies (5). \square

As a corollary of Theorem 2 we obtain the following characterization of the sets of zero density in the terms of permutations.

Corollary. Let $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ be an infinite set. Then $d(A) = 0$ if and only if there exists a permutation $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ fulfilling (6).

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Theorem 2. If $d(A) = 0$ then $\frac{n}{a_n} \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Put $B = \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{A} = \{b_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. The permutation g given by $g(a_n) = 2n, g(b_n) = 2n + 1$, fulfills (6). \square

UNIFORM DENSITY

Let $x < y$ be two positive real number, put $A(x, y) := A(y) - A(x)$, thus this value gives us the number of elements of A between x, y .

Denote $\alpha^s(A) = \max_k A(k, k + s)$, $\alpha_s(A) = \min_k A(k, k + s)$. It is well known that there exist the limits $\lim_s \frac{1}{s} \alpha^s(A) := \bar{u}(A)$ and $\lim_s \frac{1}{s} \alpha_s(A) := \underline{u}(A)$. The value $\bar{u}(A)$ is called the upper uniform density of A and the value $\underline{u}(A)$ is called the lower uniform density of A . The definition implies :

i) If $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ and the set A contains the blocks of consecutive numbers of arbitrary length then $\bar{u}(A) = 1$.

Let us denote $B = \mathbb{N} \setminus A$. Then $B(k, k + s) = s - A(k, k + s)$ thus $\underline{u}(B) = 1 - \bar{u}(A)$ and $\bar{u}(B) = 1 - \underline{u}(A)$. Therefore it holds

ii) If $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ and the set $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$ contains the blocks of consecutive numbers of arbitrary length then $\underline{u}(A) = 0$.

Theorem 1. Let A, B be two infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} such that A contains the blocks of consecutive elements from B of arbitrary length. Then $\bar{u}(A) \geq \underline{u}(B)$.

Proof: The assumptions yield that for arbitrary n it is such k that $A(k, k + n) \geq B(k, k + n)$, thus $\max_k A(k, k + n) \geq \min_k B(k, k + n)$ and the assertion follows. \square

If for $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ it holds $\underline{u}(A) = \bar{u}(A) := u(A)$ then we say that A has *uniform density*, and the value $u(A)$ is called *the uniform density* of A .

Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$ be an infinite set. It is well known fact that if $\sum_n a_n^{-1} < \infty$ then A has the asymptotic density and $d(A) = 0$. Now we give an example that this does not hold for the uniform density. Consider the set $A = \cup_n \{n! + 1, \dots, n! + n\}$. From i) we see that $\bar{u}(A) = 1$ but it is easy to prove that in this case $\sum_n a_n^{-1} < \infty$.

Theorem 2. Let $\{m_n\}$ be a sequence of positive integers, such that $(m_j, m_k) = 1$ for $k \neq j$. Put $A = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \mathbb{N}$. Then

- (1) $\bar{u}(A) = 1$
- (2) $\underline{u}(A) = 1 - \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{1}{m_n})$.

Proof: (1). The numbers m_1, \dots, m_n are relatively prime, thus due to the Chinese remainder theorem we obtain that there exists such a positive integer x_n that $x_n \equiv -j \pmod{m_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore $x_n + j \in m_j \mathbb{N}$, $j=1, \dots, n$. This yields $x_n + 1, \dots, x_n + n \in A$ and from i) we obtain $\bar{u}(A) = 1$.

(2). Put $A_n = \cup_{j=1}^n m_j \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $A_n \subset A$. It can be easily proved $u(A_n) = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \frac{1}{m_j})$ and so for $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $1 - \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{1}{m_n}) \leq \underline{u}(A)$. Other inequality we obtain from the fact that $d(A) = 1 - \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{1}{m_n})$. \square

Denote by Q_n , for $n = 2, 3, \dots$ the set of positive integers which are not divisible by the n -th power of prime number. Denote by \mathcal{P} the set of all prime numbers. Then it holds $\mathbb{N} \setminus Q_n = \cup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^n \mathbb{N}$, where the union is considered through all prime numbers p . Thus $\underline{u}(\mathbb{N} \setminus Q_n) = 1 - \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (1 - p^{-n}) > 0$ and so from ii) it follows that Q_n does not contains the blocks of consecutive integers of arbitrary length.

* * *

Now we shall study one type of arithmetic functions from point of view of the uniform density of their range.

Lemma 1. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an arithmetic function fulfilling the condition

- (a) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n+k) - f(k)}{n} > 0$ uniformly for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Then for every $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, $u(A) = 0$ it holds $u(f(A)) = 0$.

Proof: The condition (a) implies that for suitable $\beta > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(1) \quad f(n+k) - f(k) \geq \beta n, \quad n \geq n_0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus the set $F := f(\mathbb{N})$ can be represented in the form $F = F^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup F^{(n_0)}$ where

$$F^{(i)} = \{f(i) < f(i+n_0) < \dots < f(i+mn_0) < \dots\},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n_0$. Let us denote $E^{(i)} = F^{(i)} \cap f(A)$. Thus $E^{(i)} = \{f(i+mn_0); i+mn_0 \in A, m \in \mathbb{N}\}, i = 1, \dots, n_0$. Clearly $f(A) \subset E^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup E^{(n_0)}$, therefore it suffices to prove $u(E^{(i)}) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n_0$.

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$f(i+m_1n_0), \dots, f(i+m_sn_0) \in [k, k+n]$$

for $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_s, m_j \in \mathbb{N}, i+m_jn_0 \in A, j = 1, \dots, s$. Then

$$f(i+m_sn_0) - f(i+m_1n_0) \leq n.$$

From the other side the inequality (1) implies

$$f(i+m_sn_0) - f(i+m_1n_0) \geq \beta(m_s - m_1)n_0.$$

This yields $\beta(m_s - m_1)n_0 \leq n$ and so $m_s \leq m_1 + \frac{n}{\beta n_0}$. The numbers $i+m_jn_0, j = 1, \dots, s$ belong to the interval $[r, r + \frac{n}{\beta}]$, where $r = i+m_1n_0$. We get $s \leq A(r, r + \frac{n}{\beta})$, in the other words

$$(2) \quad E^{(i)}(k, k+n) \leq A(r, r + \frac{n}{\beta}),$$

thus $u(E^{(i)}) = 0$. \square

Now we recall a well known property of uniform density. Denote for a prime number p and $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ by A_p the set of these elements of A which are divisible by p and not divisible by p^2 .

In [P] it is proved the following statement: *Let P be such set of primes that $\sum_P p^{-1} = \infty$. Then for $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ it holds*

$$(3) \quad (\forall p \in P; u(A_p) = 0) \Rightarrow u(A) = 0.$$

Lemma 2. *Let P be such set of primes that $\sum_P p^{-1} = \infty$. Denote for $r = 1, 2, \dots$ by $\mathbb{N}(r)$ the set of all positive integers which have at most r distinct prime divisors from P . Then $u(\mathbb{N}(r)) = 0, r = 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof: By induction with respect to r . Clearly $\mathbb{N}(0)_p = \emptyset$, for $p \in P$, thus (3) yields $u(\mathbb{N}(0)) = 0$.

It is easy to see that $\mathbb{N}(r+1)_p \subset p\mathbb{N}(r)$, thus from (3) we obtain $u(\mathbb{N}(r)) = 0 \Rightarrow u(\mathbb{N}(r+1)) = 0, r = 1, 2, \dots$ \square

Theorem 3. *Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an arithmetic function fulfilling the condition (a) from Lemma 1. Let P be such set of primes that $\sum_P p^{-1} = \infty$. Denote by $\omega(n)$ the number of distinct prime divisors from P of $n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let f fulfills moreover the condition*

- (b) *There exists $a \in \mathbb{N}, a > 1$ that $a^{g(\omega(n))} | f(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Where $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is such a function that $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Then $u(F) = 0$, where $F = \{f(n), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Proof: Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. The set F can be decomposed to $F = F_1 \cup F_2$, where $F_1 = \{f(j); j \in \mathbb{N}, a^s | f(j)\}$ and $F_2 = F \setminus F_1$. Clearly $\bar{u}(F_1) \leq a^{-s}$. We prove $u(F_2) = 0$. The condition (b) yields that there exists a nonnegative integer r that $F_2 \subset f(\mathbb{N}(r))$, where $\mathbb{N}(r)$ is the set from Lemma 2. Thus Lemma 1 implies $u(F_2) = 0$. Therefore $\bar{u}(F) \leq a^{-s}$ and for $s \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $u(F) = 0$. \square

TRANSFORMATIONS WHICH PRESERVE THE UNIFORM DENSITY

We conclude this note by one sufficient condition under which an injective mapping preserves the uniform density.

Theorem 1. *Let $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an injection fulfilling the condition*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n+k) - g(k)}{n} = 1$$

uniformly for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then g preserves the uniform density.

For the proof we shall use the following statement proved in the paper [GLS].

Lemma. *Let $S = \{s_1 < s_2 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite set. The S has the uniform density if and only if the fraction*

$$\frac{n}{s_{n+k} - s_k}$$

converges uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. And in this case the value of its limit is equal to the uniform density of S .

Proof of Theorem 1. The condition (1) yields that for two sequences $\{h_1(n, k)\}$, $\{h_2(n, k)\}$ such that $h_1(n, k) - h_2(n, k) \rightarrow \infty$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$(2) \quad \frac{g(h_1(n, k)) - g(h_2(n, k))}{h_1(n, k) - h_2(n, k)} \rightrightarrows 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

(As usually we use the symbol \rightrightarrows for the uniform convergence.)

Let $A = \{a(1) < a(2) < \dots\}$ be an infinite set, which has the uniform density and $u(A) = \alpha$.

From Lemma we obtain

$$(3) \quad \frac{n}{a(n+k) - a(k)} \rightrightarrows \alpha, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Put $g(A) = \{g(a(1)), g(a(2)), \dots\}$. These elements are not necessary arranged to their magnitude. Clearly $a(n+k) - a(k) \geq n$, and so $a(n+k) - a(k) \rightrightarrows \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The relation (2) now implies

$$(4) \quad \frac{g(a(n+k)) - g(a(k))}{a(n+k) - a(k)} \rightrightarrows 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Therefore for suitable n_0 the fraction on left side is positive for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, thus $g(a(n_0+k)) > g(a(k))$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. And so we see that the set $g(A)$ we can decompose into a union of disjoint sets

$$(5) \quad g(A) = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_{n_0}$$

where

$$B_j = \{g(a(j)) < g(a(j+n_0)) < \dots < g(a(j+rn_0)) \dots\} \quad j = 1, \dots, n_0.$$

The relation (3) now implies

$$(6) \quad \frac{r \cdot n_0}{a(j + (r+k)n_0) - a(j + k \cdot n_0)} \rightrightarrows \alpha, \quad r \rightarrow \infty$$

Moreover the relation (2) yields

$$(7) \quad \frac{g(a(j + (k+r)n_0)) - g(a(j + k \cdot n_0)))}{a(j + (k+r)n_0) - a(j + k \cdot n_0)} \rightrightarrows 1, \quad r \rightarrow \infty$$

because the denominator is $\geq r \cdot n_0$ and so tends to ∞ uniformly for $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Thus from (6) and (7) we can deduce

$$\frac{r}{g(a(j + (k+r)n_0)) - g(a(j + k \cdot n_0))} \rightrightarrows \frac{\alpha}{n_0}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty$$

and so $u(B_j) = \frac{\alpha}{n_0}$, $j = 1, \dots, n_0$. From (5) we have $u(g(A)) = \alpha$. \square

Consider $g(n) = n + c \cdot \log n + O(1)$. Then $g(n+k) - g(k) = n + c \cdot \log\left(\frac{n}{k} + 1\right) + O(1)$, but $O \leq \log\left(\frac{n}{k} + 1\right) \leq \log(n+1)$ and g fulfills (1). Analogously it can be proved that

$$g(n) = n + c_1 \log_{r_1}^n + c_2 \log_{r_2}^{(n)} + \dots + O(1)$$

where $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_j > 1$ fulfills (1).

REFERENCES

- [N-P] M.B. Nathanson, R. Parikh, *Density of sets of natural numbers and the Lévy group*, ArXiv:math.NT/0604055v1 4 apr. 2006.
- [O] N. Obata, *Density of natural numbers and the Lévy group*, J. Number Theory **30** (1988), no. 3, 288–297.
- [K] M. Kolibiar a kol., *Algebra a príbuzné disciplíny, in slovak*, Alfa, Bratislava, 1991.
- [P] M. Paštéka, *A note about the submeasures and Fermat last theorem*, Ricerche di Matematica **XLIII** (1994), 79-90.
- [L] P. Lévy, *Problemes concrets d'analyse fonctionnelle. Avec un complement sur les fonctionnelles analytiques par F. Pellegrino*, Gauthiers-Villars Paris, 1951.
- [GLS] Z. Gáliková, B. Lazslo, T. Šalát, *Remarks on uniform density of sets of integers*, Acta Acad. Paedagog. Agriensis, Sect. Mat. (N.S) **29** (2002), 3 - 13.
- [G1] G. Grekos, *The density set: a survey*, Tatra Mount. Math. Publ. **31** (2005), 103-111.